

## Poly[[diaquanickel(II)]- $\mu_2$ -4,4'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2$ N:N'- $\mu$ -*p*-phenylenedioxydiacetato- $\kappa^2$ O:O']

Licai Zhu, Hebing Zhu and Feng Sun\*

School of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: fengsu60@yahoo.cn


Received 16 November 2007; accepted 24 November 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.034;  $wR$  factor = 0.086; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.7.

The title coordination polymer,  $[Ni(C_{10}H_8O_6)(C_{10}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$ , was obtained by the hydrothermal reaction of nickel(II) sulfate, benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetic acid (*p*-phenylenedioxydiacetic acid) and 4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bpy) in alkaline aqueous solution. Each Ni<sup>II</sup> atom is coordinated by two O atoms from two benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate ligands, two N atoms from two 4,4'-bpy ligands and two water molecules, and displays a distorted octahedral geometry. The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom and benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate and 4,4'-bpy moieties lie on inversion centres. The benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate ligands bridge the Ni<sup>II</sup> atoms to form infinite zigzag chains, which are further interconnected by 4,4'-bpy ligands to form a grid-like layer parallel to the (011) plane. Moreover, there are O—H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions within the grid-like layer between the coordinated water molecules and the carboxylate O atoms.

### Related literature

For related literature, see: Gao *et al.* (2005); Hong *et al.* (2006); Qiu *et al.* (2006, 2007).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[Ni(C_{10}H_8O_6)(C_{10}H_8N_2)(H_2O)_2]$

$M_r = 475.09$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

$a = 5.7541 (1)$  Å

$b = 8.1704 (1)$  Å

$c = 10.6437 (2)$  Å

$\alpha = 106.157 (1)^\circ$

$\beta = 96.818 (1)^\circ$

$\gamma = 97.341 (1)^\circ$

$V = 470.40 (1)$  Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 1$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 1.09$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293 (2)$  K  
 $0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.19$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII area-detector diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2004)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.765$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.820$

6907 measured reflections  
1952 independent reflections  
1769 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.026$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.086$   
 $S = 1.08$   
1952 reflections

142 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.32$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.38$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**  
Selected bond lengths (Å).

N1—Ni1	2.1735 (18)	Ni1—O1W	2.1245 (16)
Ni1—O1	2.0869 (15)		
O1—Ni1—O1W	87.83 (6)	O1W—Ni1—N1	91.96 (7)
O1—Ni1—N1	89.77 (7)		

**Table 2**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
O1W—H1W···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	1.81	2.605 (2)	163
O1W—H2W···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.81	2.21	2.962 (2)	155

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, -y + 2, -z + 2$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 2$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003) and CAMERON (Watkin *et al.*, 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors thank South China Normal University for supporting this study.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2287).

### References

- Bruker (2004). APEX2 (Version 1.22), SAINT (Version 6.0) and SADABS (Version 2004/1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gao, S., Liu, J.-W., Huo, L.-H., Xu, Y.-M. & Zhao, H. (2005). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **8**, 361–364.
- Hong, X.-L., Li, Y.-Z., Hu, H. M., Pan, Y., Bai, J. F. & You, X.-Z. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **6**, 1221–1224.
- Qiu, Y.-C., Chen, C.-L., Zeng, R.-H., Cai, Y.-P. & Deng, H. (2006). *Acta Cryst. E62*, m1979–m1981.
- Qiu, Y. C., Daiguebonne, C., Liu, J. Q., Zeng, R. H., Kerbellec, N., Deng, H. & Guillou, O. (2007). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **360**, 3265–3271.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Watkin, D. M., Pearce, L. & Prout, C. K. (1993). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.

## **supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2008). E64, m57 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807062794]

## Poly[[diaquanickel(II)]- $\mu_2$ -4,4'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2N:N'$ - $\mu$ -*p*-phenylenedioxydiacetato- $\kappa^2O:O'$ ]

L. Zhu, H. Zhu and F. Sun

### Comment

Benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetic acid is an important biologically active compound that has been commonly used in herbicides and plant-growth agents. The two phenoxyacetate groups have versatile bonding modes to metal ions and easily forms complexes (Gao *et al.*, 2005; Hong *et al.*, 2006; Qiu *et al.*, 2006; Qiu *et al.*, 2007). Recently, we obtained the title nickel polymer (I), its crystal structure is reported here.

In the structure of (I) each Ni<sup>II</sup> atom is coordinated by two O atoms from two benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate ligands, two N atom from two 4,4'-bpy ligands, and displays a distorted octahedral geometry. The Ni atom lies on an inversion center and benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate and 4,4'-bpy moieties lie other inversion centers. The benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate ligands bridge nickel ions to form infinite zigzag chains, which are further interconnected by 4,4'-bpy ligands to form a grid-like layer parallel to the (0 - 1 1) plane (Fig. 2). Moreover, there are O—H···O hydrogen bonding interactions within the grid-like layer between the coordinated water molecules and the carboxylate O atoms (Table 1).

### Experimental

A mixture of NiSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5 mmol), benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetic acid (0.5 mmol), 4,4'-bipyridine (0.5 mmol), NaOH (1 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (12 ml) was placed in a 23 ml Teflon reactor, which was heated at 433 K for three days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5 K h<sup>-1</sup>. Single crystals were obtained after washing with water and drying in air.

### Refinement

All H atoms attached to C atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93 Å (aromatic) or 0.97 Å (methylene and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ ). H atoms of water molecule were located in difference Fourier maps and included in the subsequent refinement using restraints (O—H = 0.82 (1) Å and H···H = 1.34 (2) Å) with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$ . In the last stage of refinement, they were treated as riding on their parent O atoms.

### Figures




Fig. 1. The structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 3$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y + 2, -z + 2$ ]

# supplementary materials

---




Fig. 2. The two-dimensional layer structure of the title compound, viewed along the  $a$  axis.

## Poly[[diaquanickel(II)]- $\mu_2$ -4,4'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2$ N:N'- $\mu$ -*p*-phenylenedioxydiacetato- $\kappa^2$ O:O']

### Crystal data

[Ni(C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>6</sub> )(C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>8</sub> N <sub>2</sub> )(H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> ]	$Z = 1$
$M_r = 475.09$	$F_{000} = 246$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$D_x = 1.677 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 5.7541 (1) \text{ \AA}$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$b = 8.1704 (1) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1800 reflections
$c = 10.6437 (2) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 1.4\text{--}28.0^\circ$
$\alpha = 106.157 (1)^\circ$	$\mu = 1.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 96.818 (1)^\circ$	$T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
$\gamma = 97.341 (1)^\circ$	Block, green
$V = 470.40 (1) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII area-detector diffractometer	1952 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1769 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.026$
$T = 293(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5^\circ$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2004)	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.765$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.820$	$k = -8 \rightarrow 10$
6907 measured reflections	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.086$	$w = 1/[s^2(F_o^2) + (0.0346P)^2 + 0.4701P]$
$S = 1.08$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$

1952 reflections  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 142 parameters  $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none  
 methods

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.1666 (4)	0.7074 (3)	0.7930 (2)	0.0318 (6)
H1	0.2876	0.7217	0.8633	0.038*
C2	0.1734 (4)	0.5879 (3)	0.6736 (2)	0.0314 (6)
H2	0.2965	0.5240	0.6655	0.038*
C3	-0.0019 (4)	0.5624 (3)	0.5655 (2)	0.0201 (5)
C4	-0.1819 (4)	0.6612 (3)	0.5876 (2)	0.0262 (5)
H4	-0.3058	0.6487	0.5192	0.031*
C5	-0.1772 (4)	0.7772 (3)	0.7101 (2)	0.0264 (5)
H5	-0.3005	0.8405	0.7218	0.032*
C6	0.1281 (4)	0.7277 (3)	1.1257 (2)	0.0253 (5)
C7	0.3161 (5)	0.6440 (3)	1.1868 (3)	0.0327 (6)
H7A	0.2457	0.5854	1.2444	0.039*
H7B	0.3633	0.5572	1.1163	0.039*
C8	0.5001 (4)	0.8782 (3)	1.3782 (2)	0.0273 (5)
C9	0.6973 (4)	1.0042 (3)	1.4391 (3)	0.0315 (6)
H9	0.8307	1.0079	1.3978	0.038*
C10	0.6996 (4)	1.1242 (3)	1.5598 (3)	0.0315 (6)
H10	0.8344	1.2067	1.5997	0.038*
N1	-0.0044 (3)	0.8038 (2)	0.81332 (18)	0.0227 (4)
Ni1	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.02315 (14)
O1	0.2019 (3)	0.8651 (2)	1.09891 (15)	0.0251 (4)
O2	-0.0799 (3)	0.6527 (2)	1.1047 (2)	0.0427 (5)
O3	0.5228 (3)	0.7621 (2)	1.26119 (17)	0.0332 (4)
O1W	0.3239 (3)	1.1425 (2)	0.98244 (16)	0.0289 (4)
H1W	0.2733	1.2183	0.9556	0.043*
H2W	0.4307	1.1122	0.9424	0.043*

## supplementary materials

---

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0272 (12)	0.0431 (15)	0.0187 (12)	0.0114 (11)	-0.0037 (9)	-0.0003 (11)
C2	0.0272 (12)	0.0421 (15)	0.0207 (12)	0.0160 (11)	0.0006 (9)	-0.0009 (11)
C3	0.0237 (11)	0.0198 (11)	0.0155 (11)	0.0018 (9)	0.0034 (9)	0.0040 (9)
C4	0.0279 (12)	0.0282 (12)	0.0186 (11)	0.0084 (9)	-0.0033 (9)	0.0019 (9)
C5	0.0281 (12)	0.0257 (12)	0.0233 (12)	0.0104 (9)	0.0010 (9)	0.0025 (10)
C6	0.0307 (12)	0.0254 (12)	0.0201 (11)	0.0100 (10)	0.0063 (9)	0.0040 (9)
C7	0.0391 (14)	0.0283 (13)	0.0327 (14)	0.0099 (11)	0.0040 (11)	0.0111 (11)
C8	0.0275 (11)	0.0332 (13)	0.0256 (12)	0.0098 (10)	0.0017 (9)	0.0145 (10)
C9	0.0228 (11)	0.0448 (15)	0.0318 (14)	0.0072 (10)	0.0079 (10)	0.0175 (12)
C10	0.0246 (11)	0.0379 (14)	0.0326 (14)	0.0008 (10)	0.0026 (10)	0.0142 (11)
N1	0.0247 (9)	0.0231 (10)	0.0175 (9)	0.0040 (8)	0.0035 (8)	0.0014 (8)
Ni1	0.0242 (2)	0.0247 (2)	0.0187 (2)	0.00564 (16)	0.00278 (16)	0.00319 (17)
O1	0.0280 (8)	0.0250 (9)	0.0221 (8)	0.0067 (7)	0.0013 (7)	0.0070 (7)
O2	0.0309 (10)	0.0373 (11)	0.0653 (14)	0.0067 (8)	0.0079 (9)	0.0234 (10)
O3	0.0301 (9)	0.0409 (11)	0.0281 (9)	0.0112 (8)	0.0036 (7)	0.0077 (8)
O1W	0.0231 (8)	0.0335 (9)	0.0317 (9)	0.0058 (7)	0.0060 (7)	0.0110 (8)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

C1—N1	1.338 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9700
C1—C2	1.379 (3)	C8—O3	1.372 (3)
C1—H1	0.9300	C8—C9	1.387 (3)
C2—C3	1.386 (3)	C8—C10 <sup>ii</sup>	1.392 (3)
C2—H2	0.9300	C9—C10	1.380 (4)
C3—C4	1.395 (3)	C9—H9	0.9300
C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	1.486 (4)	C10—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	1.392 (3)
C4—C5	1.377 (3)	C10—H10	0.9300
C4—H4	0.9300	N1—Ni1	2.1735 (18)
C5—N1	1.340 (3)	Ni1—O1	2.0869 (15)
C5—H5	0.9300	Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.0869 (15)
C6—O2	1.237 (3)	Ni1—O1W <sup>iii</sup>	2.1245 (16)
C6—O1	1.268 (3)	Ni1—O1W	2.1245 (16)
C6—C7	1.526 (3)	Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.1735 (18)
C7—O3	1.425 (3)	O1W—H1W	0.8206
C7—H7A	0.9700	O1W—H2W	0.8144
N1—C1—C2	123.6 (2)	C10—C9—H9	119.3
N1—C1—H1	118.2	C8—C9—H9	119.3
C2—C1—H1	118.2	C9—C10—C8 <sup>ii</sup>	119.9 (2)
C1—C2—C3	120.4 (2)	C9—C10—H10	120.0
C1—C2—H2	119.8	C8 <sup>ii</sup> —C10—H10	120.0
C3—C2—H2	119.8	C1—N1—C5	116.27 (19)
C2—C3—C4	115.8 (2)	C1—N1—Ni1	122.60 (15)
C2—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	122.1 (2)	C5—N1—Ni1	121.09 (15)

C4—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	122.1 (2)	O1—Ni1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	180.000 (1)
C5—C4—C3	120.3 (2)	O1—Ni1—O1W <sup>iii</sup>	92.17 (6)
C5—C4—H4	119.8	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—O1W <sup>iii</sup>	87.83 (6)
C3—C4—H4	119.8	O1—Ni1—O1W	87.83 (6)
N1—C5—C4	123.5 (2)	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—O1W	92.17 (6)
N1—C5—H5	118.3	O1W <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—O1W	180.0
C4—C5—H5	118.3	O1—Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	90.23 (7)
O2—C6—O1	126.6 (2)	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	89.77 (7)
O2—C6—C7	116.8 (2)	O1W <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	91.96 (7)
O1—C6—C7	116.6 (2)	O1W—Ni1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	88.04 (7)
O3—C7—C6	114.3 (2)	O1—Ni1—N1	89.77 (7)
O3—C7—H7A	108.7	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1	90.23 (7)
C6—C7—H7A	108.7	O1W <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1	88.04 (7)
O3—C7—H7B	108.7	O1W—Ni1—N1	91.96 (7)
C6—C7—H7B	108.7	N1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ni1—N1	180.000 (1)
H7A—C7—H7B	107.6	C6—O1—Ni1	126.73 (15)
O3—C8—C9	115.9 (2)	C8—O3—C7	117.78 (19)
O3—C8—C10 <sup>ii</sup>	125.3 (2)	Ni1—O1W—H1W	100.2
C9—C8—C10 <sup>ii</sup>	118.8 (2)	Ni1—O1W—H2W	131.1
C10—C9—C8	121.3 (2)	H1W—O1W—H2W	108.2

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+3$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y+2, -z+2$ .

#### *Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )*

$D—\text{H}\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—\text{H}\cdots A$
O1W—H1W $\cdots$ O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.82	1.81	2.605 (2)	163
O1W—H2W $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.81	2.21	2.962 (2)	155

Symmetry codes: (iii)  $-x, -y+2, -z+2$ ; (iv)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+2$ .

## supplementary materials

---

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

